God, gods and the Sons' of God

There are other Sons of God and they are not humans and they are not angels. They definitely existed before the foundation of the world as we will see. I think this is very significant and important because it has a bearing on Christ as to His nature and His situation as "the Son of God" and "the only begotten Son of God" (John 3:18). Notice that the term "only-begotten" takes on new importance when you realize there are other Sons of God in the mix. So let's get started. I cover the following important topics in varying detail:

- What is God?
- What is/are Elohim?
- Who are the Sons of God
- Who is Christ?
- Jesus as the Son of God
- The nature of "Eternity?"
- Worship of Christ
- Christ's existence before His incarnation
- Christ as the first creation of the Father
- Christ's role in the subsequent creation

This should keep me busy. There is clearly, according to the apostle Paul, only one God:

"But to us <u>there is</u> but one God, the Father,

[out] of whom <u>are all things</u>, and we in him; and <u>one Lord</u> Jesus Christ,

by [dia, through] whom are all things, and
we by [dia, through] him."

• 1 Corinthians 8:6

If <u>we</u> are through Him, then likewise "all things" (meaning all creation) are <u>through Him</u> in the same way as well. The same Greek word *dia* is used to express both thoughts. The connection is inescapable and intentionally made by Paul. Elsewhere Paul states again:

"For <u>there is one God</u>, and <u>one mediator</u> between God and men, <u>the man</u>Christ Jesus ..."

• 1 Timothy 2:5

"Our" God

For Israel, the phrase **"our God"** (as expressed by Jews today in what is termed the Shema, quoting Deuteronomy 6:4) implies other gods. YHWH is <u>their</u> God, but other gods did in fact exist.

Hear, O Israel:

The LORD our God is one LORD

This is best understood when the proper divine terms are inserted (which I do throughout this lecture):

YHWH <u>our Elohim</u> is one YHWH

• Deuteronomy 6:4, Shema

The Shema applies to YHWH only. And yet other Elohim are implied because YHWH is "our Elohim." otherwise why does Moses bother mentioning it at all? It is obvious that there are other Elohim as we will see as we proceed. Look at the whole verse:

"Hear, O Israel:

YHWH our Elohim is one YHWH:

And you shall love

YHWH your Elohim

with all your heart, and with all your soul, and with all your might.

• Deuteronomy 6:4-5

Christ in Mark 12:29-30 uses this verse to powerful effect in answering a question as to what was the primary commandment.

Further on in Deuteronomy Elohim other than YHWH again are implied.

"For YHWH your Elohim [indicating that other people have other gods] is Elohi of Elohim, and Adoni of Adonim [KJV: "Lord of Lords"], a great El, a mighty [El], and a terrible [El], which regards not persons, nor takes reward."

• Deuteronomy 10:17

Again, other Elohim are presumed. ""Elohi" is a plural form of Elohim used with a singular verb. Here it is used as a superlative (God of Gods, and Lord of Lords). There is a reason that the singular or plural is used in any particular context, especially when the plural form "Elohim" is used with singular prepositions and singular verbs. In the vast majority of instances "Elohim" refers to a singular being, the God of gods. God uses "Elohim"as a singular most often but it still conveys a plurality, even as it also expresses a singularity. God uses the plural form "Elohim" as a singular some two thousand times. He does so for clarity and precision, believe it or not.

"I am YHWH your Elohim [singular] 2...

You shall have no other Elohim [plural] before [plural] me.
You shall not make you any graven images [of Elohim], or any
likeness [of Elohim] ...

You shall not bow down yourself unto them [plural], nor serve them [plural]:

for I, YHWH your Elohim [singular] am a jealous El [singular]."

• Deuteronomy 5:6-9

Note that both the singular and plural usage of Elohim <u>are in the same</u> <u>context</u>. Other Elohim exist, they are real, and <u>can be served</u>, and bowed down to. ³Singular and plural are used purposefully. Note the categories:

- YHWH is singular in form. He (God the Father) is <u>the</u> El of Elohim (Joshua 22:22).
- El is singular in form.
- Eloah is <u>singular</u> in form. This term is used only in poetry.
- Elohim is plural in form although it is most often singular in use.
- Elohi is plural in form.
- Elim is plural in form and it is a contraction of Elohim.

Elohim, notoriously and purposefully takes singular verbs almost always, with few exceptions. Here is what I am looking to put forth today: "Elohim" communicates plurality even when it is used singularly. We can know this because:

- God had other words He could have used (the two singular terms, El and Eloah).
- Elohim other than YHWH are plural, without doubt.
- God chooses the plural form "Elohim" often, even when the usage is singular

Therefore, use of the plural form is intentional to communicate plurality. *Elohim as a Collective Noun?*

The term Elohim is a "collective noun." Here is the definition from my American Heritage Dictionary:

"collective noun" n. Grammar. A noun that denotes a collection of persons or things regarded as a unit.

"USAGE NOTE: In American usage, a collective noun takes a singular verbwhen it refers to the collection considered as a whole, as in The family was united on this question. The enemy is suing for peace. It takes a plural verb when it refers to the members of the group considered as individuals, as in My family are always fighting among themselves. The enemy were showing up in groups of three or four to turn in their weapons. (In British usage, however, collective nouns are more often treated as plurals) ...

Among the common collective nouns are <u>committee</u>, <u>clergy</u>, <u>company</u>, <u>enemy</u>, <u>group</u>, <u>family</u>, <u>flock</u>, <u>public</u>, and <u>team</u>. <u>Group</u> as a collective noun can be followed by a singular or plural verb. <u>Group</u> takes a singular verb when the persons or things that make up the group are considered collectively: <u>The dance group is ready for rehearsal</u>. <u>Group</u> takes a plural verb when the persons or things that constitute it are considered individually: <u>The group</u> were divided in their sympathies."

• American Heritage Dictionary [underline emphasis mine] I would include and add the words "army," "navy," "ekklesia," and Elohim. In fact, the term "Elohim" is the archetypal example of a collective noun. 4 So What Are "Elohim"?

- 1. YHWH is an Elohim. This is clear from Psalm 95:3, 7.
- 2. Pagan gods are Elohim as is shown in Deuteronomy 6:14.
- 3. Angels are Elohim by comparing Psalm 8:4-5 and Hebrews 2:9 (a direct citation of Psalm 8:4-5).
- 4. Cherubim are Elohim according to Ezekiel 28:14.
- 5. Sons of God are Elohim, according to Genesis 6:2, 4; Job 1:6, 2:1, 38:7; and Psalms 29:1, as well as Psalms 82:1, 6, and 89:5-8.
- 6. Jesus Christ as the Son of God is definitely an Elohim. Human beings (in their present pre-resurrection state) are never identified as Elohim in the Old Testament. I know that such a statement goes against all Jewish commentaries and against most Bible commentaries in general. But, if human beings are Elohim, then the use of the term loses all of its meaning. It is true, as I shall show, that human beings are given authority of Elohim, i.e., Moses (Exodus 7:1, 21:6, 22:8-9; Psalm 45:6). Human beings are compared to Elohim, but they are not Elohim (yet). Words of comparison such as "like" or "as" are used in these verses to indicate that some human beings have been given the power of attorney, the authority of Elohim.
- 1. YHWH Is an "Elohim"

"For a great <u>El</u> is <u>YHWH</u>, and a great <u>King above all Elohim</u>. ...
For he is <u>our Elohim</u>; and we are the people of his pasture, and the sheep of his hand."

"Our Elohim," the possessive, shows that there are other Elohim. YHWH and El are singular in this passage. YHWH is an El of the Elohim. He is one El of the group called Elohim. The phrase "all Elohim" in verse 3 is plural by virtue of the word "all" and confirmed by the LXX rendering of the Greek Old Testament. The phrase that YHWH is "our Elohim" is used as a singular. Again, this is all intentional, and it goes back and forth because this is the way God wants it to be understood.

2. Pagan Gods Are "Elohim"

"You shall fear YHWH your Elohim, and serve him, and shall swear by his name. You shall not go after other <u>Elohim</u>, of the <u>Elohim</u> of the people which are round about you;

(For YHWH your Elohim is a jealous <u>El</u> among you) lest the anger of <u>YHWH your</u> <u>Elohim</u> be kindled against you ..."

• Deuteronomy 6:13-15

YHWH and El are singular (verses 13, 15). YHWH, an El, is <u>of</u> the Elohim (verse 15). In the phrase "Elohim of the people," Elohim is plural (verse 14). The phrase "your Elohim" is used singularly (verse 13, 15).

3. Angels Are "Elohim"

"Confounded be all they that serve graven images, that boast themselves of idols: worship him, all you gods [Elohim in Hebrew]."

Psalm 97:7 [The Greek LXX has "angels" for Elohim]
 in the first-begotten [firstborn] into the world,

"And again, when he brings in the first-begotten [firstborn] into the world, he says, "And let all the angels of God worship him."

Hebrews 1:6

Hebrews 1:6 is quoting Psalm 97:7. All angels are Elohim but not all Elohim are angels. YHWH is not an angel.

"What is man, that you are mindful of him? and the son of man, that you visit him? For you have made him a little lower than Elohim [Hebrew], and have crowned him with glory and honor."

• **Psalm 8:4-5** [The Greek LXX has "angels"]

"But we see Jesus, who was made <u>a little lower than the angels</u> for the suffering of death, crowned with glory and honor."

• Hebrews 2:9

Once again the Greek Old Testament has "angels." This rendering is confirmed in Hebrews 2:9 which changes "Elohim" into "angels" because the author of Hebrews had the authority to make that change. Or, perhaps he was clarifying the Hebrew text and validating the Greek Old Testament. There are occasions when the author of Hebrews totally contradicts the *Hebrew* Old Testament, changing the meaning radically. There are other examples of this in the epistle of Hebrews.

4. Cherubim Are "Elohim"

This is rather a unique translation to Young's Literal Translation but it is a valid possibility as a translation. After all, if angels are Elohim, why would it be surprising to think Cherubim are also Elohim?

"You are <u>an anointed cherub</u> who is covering, And I have set you in the holy mount, <u>Elohim you have been</u>, In the midst of stones of fire you have walked up and down.

• Ezekiel 28:14, Young's Literal Translation

This translation makes more sense than the usual translation. See the King James Version for the majority translation and understanding of Ezekiel 28:14.

5. The Sons of God Are Elohim

Who are the mysterious Sons of God of Genesis chapter 6?

"And it came to pass, when men began to multiply ... That the <u>sons of God[beniha-Elohim]</u> saw the daughters of men that they were fair; and they took them <u>wives</u> of all which they chose."

Genesis 6:1-2

The wives that the Sons of God took, they married those women. ^IThis is a different situation and a different sexual situation than what is talked about in the epistles of Peter and Jude. Peter and Jude talk about fornication. There is no fornication in Genesis 6. ⁸ They married these women and they bred with them successfully. Unfortunately the giants were evil, and their descendants bred multiple generations.

"There were giants [nephilim] in the earth in those days; and also after that, when the sons of God came in unto the daughters of men, and they bore children to them, the same became mighty men which were of old, men of renown."

• Genesis 6:4

Who are these Sons of God? There are two general and common theories. <u>Both</u> are wrong:

- 1. They are men incorrect
 - Sons of God had regular access to heaven (and therefore are not men).
 - Men marrying women do not breed giants.
 - The Sons of God existed before the foundation of the world.
- 2. They are angels also incorrect
 - Sons of God cannot be angels (Hebrews 1:5).

The Sons of God are Elohim, a different "class" of Elohim than angels. It is a well-populated creation that God made through Jesus Christ.

"Now there was a day when the <u>sons of God</u> came to present themselves before YHWH, and Satan came also among them."

• Job 1:6 (and 2:1)

Satan is not a Son of God because he looks reptilian. He is not in the image or likeness of God. He is a dragon-type being. This is the description you have in the Book of Revelation (12:9). It says he came "also among them." It does not say he was one of them.

The Sons of God had access to heaven, according to Job who wrote some time before the Exodus. That is why there is no explanation in Genesis 6 as to who the Sons of God were. No explanation was needed. The Israelite audience that Moses was writing to knew and understood who the Sons of God were because they had access to the book of Job. The Sons of God had access to heaven during the time of Job and during times before Job, even before the creation of earth.

Some here [at the One God Conference] believe that the Son of God, Jesus Christ, did not or could not exist prior to His incarnation. There were other sons of God in creation in fact, existing <u>before</u> the physical creation. Yet we are expected by some to believe that Jesus Christ, "<u>the</u> Son of God" did not exist prior to His incarnation or prior to His birth from Mary. It is clear from Scripture that Sons of God are not human beings, nor are they angels.

"Where were you <u>WHEN</u> I laid the foundations of the earth? Declare, if you have understanding.

 $\underline{\textit{WHEN}}$ the morning stars sang together, and [when] all the $\underline{\textit{sons of God}}$ shouted for joy?"

• Job 38:4, 7

Angels Cannot be Sons of God

These Sons of God were present before "the foundations of the earth" were laid. That is how I read that passage. These Sons of God were present, yet "the Son of God" was not present, supposedly. 10 "For unto which of the angels said he at any time,

- [1] 'You are my Son, this day have I begotten you?' And again,
- [2] 'I will be to him a Father, and he shall be to me a Son?'"

Hebrews 1:5

In a very short amount of space the same rhetorical question which has a <u>negative</u> answer: "which of the angels said He?" And again, "I will be to him a Father ...?"

Conclusions from Hebrews 1:5: Angels can never be called a "son," ever, no angel anywhere, not even the angel of the Lord. Likewise, "the Son of God" is not an angel. NoSons of God are angels. That does not mean the Sons of God cannot carry messages, but they are not designated with the official title of "messenger" (malaka in Hebrew or aggelosin Greek). Likewise, angels can never call God their "father." Finally, angels are never "begotten." The Sons of God, however, were the gods of the nations:

"When the most High divided to the nations their inheritance, when he separated the sons of Adam, he set the bounds of the people <u>according to the number of THE CHILDREN OF ISRAEL</u>. For YHWH's portion is his people; Jacob is the lot of his [YHWH's] inheritance."

• Deuteronomy 32:8-9

This portion "according to the number of the children of Israel" does not make any sense. What does that mean? Does that mean there are only 12 nations in the world? Genesis chapter 10 there are 70 nations listed. Does that mean that the 70 people who went down into Egypt with Jacob? Is that what it is referring to? There is no correspondence anywhere else in Scripture relating "the number of the children of Israel" to the nations. Zero. Israel certainly is not qualified to rule the nations and have them as an inheritance, not now nor in the past, and certainly during the time of the Exodus, and certainly not when Deuteronomy was composed by Moses. The correct rendering is this, and it is in the Dead Sea Scrolls, in the Greek Septuagint, and in other places. It is a technical issue and frankly the Hebrew text is wrong, "but almost all technical scholars agree that this is the correct rendering of the text:

"When the most High divided to the nations their inheritance, when he separated the sons of Adam, he set the bounds of the people <u>according to the number of THE SONS OF GOD</u> [beni ha-Elohim]. For YHWH's portion is his people; Jacob is the lot of his inheritance."

• Deuteronomy 32:8-9

In other words, this is where the myths of the pagan gods of the nations came from. They were the Sons of God of Genesis chapter 6, Job chapters 1, 2, and 38:7, Psalm 29:1, Psalms 82 and 89, along with this mention in Deuteronomy 32:8. They were called the olden gods by many nations. In Greek mythology they were called the Titans. Sons of God were the "gods" [Elohim] of the nations. The Jewish historian Josephus identifies the Sons of God and the Greek Titans.

Originally YHWH parceled out and delegated one Son of God to oversee one nation. Of course they mingled, mixed, and fought. The pagan myths are so mixed up there is no way to figure out who did what to whom or when. And in the pagan myths they have the gods breeding with women, just as in Genesis

chapter 6. These are not fantasy stories. This is real life, real history. Some unfortunate people had to live through those times and had to live with the offspring who were the incredibly evil giants, the nephilim. The last of them were apparently killed off in Palestine in the time of King David. The Sons of God were the Elohim of the nations. The nations were allowed to worship the beni ha-Elohim. And the Sons of God responded to worship. They were allowed to. However, they bungled that responsibility and God punished them. Note five points made in Psalm 89 which refer to these Sons of God:

"For [1] WHO in the heaven can be compared unto YHWH? [2] WHO among the sons of the mighty [sons of Elim] can be likened unto YHWH?

El is greatly to be feared in [3] the assembly [council] of the saints, and to be had in reverence of all [4] them that are about him. O YHWH

[5] Elohim of hosts, who is a strong YAH like unto you? or to your faithfulness round about you?"

Psalm 89:6-8

This passage mentions several different groups who are around the throne of YHWH. Together they form "the assembly of the saints [Holy Ones]." Note that there are comparisons made to YHWH. It talks about "the Sons of the Mighty," Sons of Elim, which are the same as the beni ha-Elohim, with a use of "Elim" instead of Elohim. Technical scholars term them as either the Divine Assembly or the Divine Council. 12

In fact there are parallels in Ugaritic writings for some Bible passages, particularly Psalm 82, which are almost word for word. Some technical scholars say that Psalm 82 took the words from Ugaritic poems. It is the other way around. The biblical text informed Ugaritic. 13

"<u>Elohim of hosts</u>, who is a strong YAH [an abbreviation of YHWH] like unto you? or to your faithfulness round about you?"

• Psalm 89:8

The Sons of God were among those in the divine council. Jesus Christ as \underline{the} Son of God is an Elohim. Psalm 82 is a fascinating little psalm. It is 8 verses long and it is in construction what is called a \hat{rib} lawsuit in Hebrew, a divine covenant lawsuit. The people of Israel made a covenant with God. That covenant was structured in a way similar (not identical but similar) in form to the covenants that nations would make and have treaties with other nations. Some treaties related a suzerain over a subordinate. Other treaties were between equals, and other treaties were from a subordinate to a superior.

Israel's covenant with God was from weakness. God was the superior, the suzerain. He was the king. There is no doubt about it and it was reflected in the nature of the covenant. Israel's covenant with God can be compared with other covenants that the Assyrians made with their vassal states of Syria or other nations. The form is quite striking. When one party violates the covenant there is a format by which redress can be gained. God is constantly threatening Israel throughout the Old Testament, even up to the destruction of the northern kingdom and later the destruction of the southern kingdom, He is constantly warning them that He will invoke the punishments in Deuteronomy, if they do not shape up. He does it according to the lawsuit formula.

Psalm 82

Psalm 82 also has a $r\hat{i}b$ lawsuit format against the Sons of God. As verses 2 and 8 indicate the subject of Psalm 82 is judgment. The psalm begins with the parties at controversy listed. Then a formula is followed:

• Complaint is set out

- Commission or trust violated
- Result of failure to the people
- Result of failure to the earth
- Judgment/doom pronounced
- Reassignment of covenant

Psalm 82 does not precisely fit other covenant lawsuits compared to biblical instances and secular ancient archival documents; this Psalm is recognized as a formal judicial procedure. The word in Hebrew occurs some 62 times and denotes a controversy that requires settlement of judgment. ¹⁴

Text of Psalm 82:1-8

Parties involved: (Psalm 82:1): Elohim stands in the congregation of El; He judgesamong the Elohim.

- a. Complaint: (verse 2) How long will you
 - judge unjustly, and
 - accept the persons of the wicked? Selah.
- b. Commission violated:
 - (verse 3) Defend the poor and fatherless:
 - do justice to the afflicted and needy.
 - (verse 4) Deliver the poor and needy:
 - rid them out of the hand of the wicked.
- c. Result to people of failure:
 - (verse 5) They know not,
 - neither will they understand;
 - they walk on in darkness.
- d. Result to earth of failure:
 - · all the foundations of the earth are out of course.
- e. Judgment/doom pronounced: (verse 6) I have said, You are Elohim; and all of you are children [sons] of the most High. (verse 7) But
 - you shall die like men [like adam], and
 - fall like one of the princes [like human rulers].
- f. Reassignment of covenant to another: (verse 8) Arise, O Elohim, judge the earth:

for you shall inherit all nations.

The "sons of the most High" had limited sovereignty and dominion delegated to them to judge the nations under their charge justly. Psalm 82 is a Davidic Psalm. That dominion was proclaimed to be taken from them some time during David's reign. Later, during the time of Jeremiah, the judgment of Psalm 82 was put into effect. Guess who verse 8 ("You shall inherit all nations.") is talking about? Sovereignty is taken away from the Sons of God and then it is given to "the Son of God" who is Christ.

Conclusions from Psalm 82: Verse 6: Elohim are equated with "the Sons of the Most High (Hebrew, 'elyon)," and they are being judged. Leverse 6: the Elohim who are those "the Sons of the Most High" can die! Elohim can die! Leverse of the Most High are not humans (or so-called judges). Leverse of the Most High are not angels by virtue of Hebrews 1:5, a key verse. The Greek Old Testament mistakenly applies the term "angels" when it should not when it is talking about the Sons of God and they get mixed together. They are mixed in the Book of Enoch, they get mixed in the Book of Jubilees, they get mixed in Josephus, and in the writings of Philo. Things got so mixed up that the author of the Book of Hebrews, Paul, took it upon himself to correct the text and went against what, in some cases, the Greek Old Testament says.

John Chapter 10, Jesus Quotes Psalm 82

At the Temple in Jerusalem during the Feast of Dedication Jesus was asked: "Then came the Jews round about him, and said unto him, 'How long do you make us to doubt? If you be the Christ [Messiah], tell us plainly.'"

• John 10:24

Jesus answers and refers them to His sheep and His works as testimony whether He was the Messiah.

"'My Father, which gave them me, is greater than all; and no man is able to pluck them out of my Father's hand. \underline{I} and my Father are one.' Then the Jews took up stones again to stone him.

Jesus answered them, 'Many good works have I showed you from my Father [which they saw and acknowledged]; for which of those works do you stone me?'
The Jews answered him, saying, 'For a good work we stone you not[admitting He did good works]; but for blasphemy; and because that you, being a man, make yourself God [Greek, theos].'"

[Jesus answered them] Is it not written in your law, 'I said, You are gods?'[citing Psalm 82:6] If he called them gods, unto whom the word of God came, and the scripture cannot be broken; Say you of him, whom the Father [1] has sanctified [makes holy], and [2] sent [sends] into the world, 'You blaspheme'; because I said, 'I am THE Son of God?'"

• John 10:29-36

They understood there were other Sons of God. They knew exactly what He was quoting in Psalm 82:6.

"'If I do not the works of my Father, believe me not. But if I do, though you believe not me, believe the works: that you may know, and believe, that the Father is in me, and I in him.' Therefore they sought again to take him: but he escaped out of their hand."

• John 10:37-39

Observations: Jesus statement that He was "the Son of God" was defended by His quoting Psalm 82, He was referring to all of it. ¹⁹Jesus' reference to the "gods" meant "the Sons of the Most High" in Psalm 82:6. The Jews understood this. <u>Jesus identifies Himself with the Elohim in Psalm 82:8</u>! Jesus was saying that He was to be given all the authority taken from the other Sons by God the Father. This is the reason they sought to stone Him. Compare these verses:

"Arise, O <u>Elohim</u>, judge the earth: for <u>you shall inherit all</u> <u>nations</u> [goyim, the nations]."

Psalm 82:8

"Ask of me, and <u>I shall give you the heathen</u> [goyim, the nations] <u>for your inheritance</u>, and the uttermost parts of the earth <u>for your possession</u>."

• *Psalm 2:8* [a messianic psalm]

"The seventh angel sounded; and there were great voices in heaven, saying, 'The kingdoms of this world are become the kingdoms of our Lord, and of his Christ [Messiah]; and he shall reign for ever and ever [eons of the eons]."

• Revelation 11:15

The Book of Hebrews

"God, ... has in these last days spoken unto us by his Son,

- [1] whom he has appointed heir of all things [cf., Psalm 82:8],
- [2] by [through] whom also he made the worlds [eons];
- [3] Who being the brightness of his glory, and
- [4] [being] the express image of his person, and
- [5] upholding all things by the word of his power,

when he [the Son] had by himself purged our sins, sat down on the right hand of the Majesty on high.

Being made so much <u>better than the angels</u>, as he has by inheritance[allotment ²⁰] obtained a more excellent name than they."

• Hebrews 1:1-4

Here is the sequence of events: God took away the inheritance from the Sons of God in Psalm 82:8. He did not assign it to Jesus Christ right away. It was not given to him until later, as it says in Hebrews. Who was running things in the meantime? The Prince of Persia, the Prince of Grecia, other angels, the King of Tyre (a cherub). You see this reflected in the pagan writings. The lesser gods did not respond like the older gods did, like the ancient gods did who went away about 600 B.C.E. using our timeframe. There is a radical shift in history and religion during the time of Jeremiah. ²¹
"See, I have this day set you over the nations and over the kingdoms, to root out, and to pull down, and to destroy, and to throw down, to build, and to plant."

• Jeremiah 1:10

This involved everything and all nations in the world. At that time those Sons of God had their sovereignty taken away and the pronouncement of Psalm 82:8, which was announced in the time of David, was enforced. God gave them quite a long waiting period before He enacted the punishment. Like most, if God delays judgment they think nothing will happen. Israel did, Judah did, probably so did the Sons of God. There are accounts, which I will not go into here, where there are distinctions between the idols which are seized and the gods, the Elohim, who are taken captive. Does that mean that if they are going to die like men then they are limited to the physical? Maybe. Maybe they were stuck in the physical realm and they cannot get out of it, and that they are subject to death. God pronounced their death in Psalm 82:6. They have to die somehow. It does not mean God will just zap them. What does it mean for an Elohim to die?

"Being made so much <u>better than the angels</u>, as he has by inheritance[allotment] obtained a more excellent name than they. ²²For unto which of the angels said he at any time,

- [6] "You are my Son, this day have I begotten you?" And again,
- [7] "I will be to him a Father, and he shall be to me a Son?"

• *Hebrews* 1:4-5

Jesus as the Son of God

Jesus was \underline{a} Son of God, but more importantly He was \underline{the} Son of God (with the definite article).

"But unto the Son he says,

'Your throne, $\underline{0}$ God, is for ever and ever [the eon of the eon]: a

sceptre of righteousness is the sceptre of your kingdom. You have loved righteousness, and hated iniquity; therefore God, even <u>your God</u>, have anointed you with the oil of gladness <u>above your</u> fellows.'"

• *Hebrews 1:8-9* [quoting Psalm 45:6-7]

The author of Hebrews is talking about the Son of God, Jesus Christ, and in verse 8 he calls the Son "God" (using the common term Greek for God, theos). Let us look at Psalm 45:6-7 (cited in Hebrews 1:8-9):

"Your throne, 0×10^{-1} , is for ever and ever [olam] and beyond]: the sceptre of your kingdom is a right sceptre.

You love righteousness, and hate wickedness: therefore <u>Elohim</u>, your<u>Elohim</u>, has anointed you with the oil of gladness <u>above your fellows</u>."

• Psalm 45:6-7

Observations:

- The Son is an Elohim, comparing Hebrews 1:8 with Psalm 45:6; this conclusion is inescapable.
- If the Son did not exist (did not have consciousness) <u>until His incarnation</u>, then <u>WHEN</u>did the Son become an Elohim?
- If the Son did not exist (did not have consciousness) <u>until His</u> <u>incarnation</u>, then who were His "fellows" talked about in Psalm 45:7 and in Hebrews 1:9?
- What then is the relationship between the Son of God, the Sons of God, and these"fellows"?
- <u>WHEN</u> were they "His fellows," because the Sons of God existed and died (most of them) <u>before</u> the Son was incarnated? At the time of Psalm 82 most were sentenced to death and I maintain that was carried out during the time of Jeremiah. There is no problem if Christ, the Son of God was the means whereby God the Father created the other Sons of God. 23
- Comparing Hebrews 1:9 with Psalm 45:7, the "fellows" could only be the <u>other</u> Sons of God told about in Genesis 6, Deuteronomy 32, Job, Psalm 29:1, Psalms 82 and 89, et al.

Henotheism

What then is the true characterization of the Godhead? Martin P. Nilsson, in his article "The High God and the Mediator" ²⁴ talks about henotheism, quoting a passage from a pagan Greek philosopher, Maximus of Tyre who wrote in 2nd century C.E. several decades after New Testament times:

"In spite of all the dissension (on other matters), one finds <u>in the whole</u> world a unanimous opinion and doctrine that

there is one God, the king and father of everything, and many gods, who are the co-regents of God.

So says the Greek, so says the barbarian."

• Dissertation 11.5 25

You also get this concept in the writings of the Jewish philosopher Philo, and you get this somewhat in Josephus. This is not unique idea in ancient times. So then, what or who are Elohim? Once again, going through the list:

- 1. YHWH is an Elohim (Psalm 95:3, 7)
- 2. Pagan gods are Elohim (Deuteronomy 6:14)
- 3. Angels are Elohim (Psalm 8:4-5, Hebrews 2:9)
- 4. Cherubim are Elohim (Ezekiel 28:14)
- 5. Sons of God <u>are Elohim</u> (Genesis 6:2, 4; Job 1:6, 2:1, 38:7; Psalms 82, 89)
- 6. Jesus Christ as <u>the Son of God</u> is <u>an Elohim</u> (Hebrews 1:8-10, Psalm 45:6-7)

Human beings are <u>never</u> identified as Elohim in the Old Testament, but they <u>are</u> given authority of Elohim, *i.e.* Moses (Exodus 7:1), and there are comparisons made using "as" or "like" Elohim.

Let us define then the terms of the various concepts about God:

- 1. Monotheism "The doctrine or belief that there is only one God."
- 2. Polytheism "The worship of, or belief in, more than one god."
- 3. <u>Henotheism</u> "Belief in [worship of] one god without denying the existence of others."
 - The American Heritage Dictionary

Henotheism is exactly what Israel was commanded to do, worship YHWH alone while acknowledging that other gods existed. Look at the example of Solomon. Solomon had no problems until he started worshipping the foreign gods and building temples for them (see 1 Kings 11:1-40). He had no problems at all until that time. He could acknowledge the other gods as the Phoenicians. Israel always acknowledged YHWH, even when they built the golden calf to represent the "gods" that brought them out of Egypt (Exodus chapter 32). This also shows that Israel knew there were gods other than YHWH. It was all right for Israel to acknowledge that other gods existed, but they were only to worship YHWH and no other gods. That is what they were commanded to do by God and Moses.

Henotheism in my view describes best the biblical reality, but all such terms have a limited application including <u>binitarianism</u>, because they are all non-biblical. Of course, <u>YHWH is not a trinity</u>, nor is God the Father part of a trinity!

Dixon Cartwright, ²⁶when I spoke with him a while back, tried to put labels on me, and he said, are you this or are you that? I said, well, I believe YHWH is one God the Father, and there is one Lord Jesus Christ. That would make me a monotheist. There are other Elohim that I understand are out there and are real gods (small "g"), but they are Elohim. That would make me a polytheist. I would only worship one God, yet I acknowledge the existence of other gods, that would make me a Henotheist. You can call me what you like: a monotheist, a polytheist, a henotheist, a binitarian, just don't call me late for lunch. I would take offense at that.

- 1 Note another example, Joshua 22:22 where the phrase "El of Elohim" is used. The "El" is singular of the plural Elohim. Once this concept is understood then much of the Old Testament doctrine about God begins to clear up and make perfectly good sense.
- 2 Here Elohim is used as a singular, yet other peoples and nations other than Israel have different Elohim.
- <u>3</u> If other Elohim did not ontologically exist, if they were not real beings, then why would YHWH get upset about Israel worshipping fantasies? Why would God care about nonexistent beings? He could explain to Israel that these gods are not real and do not exist. But, if other Elohim <u>did</u> exist (and they do exist), then there is a real reason that God warns Israel and even the <u>ekklesia</u> against idolatry. First Corinthians 10:14 and 1 John 5:21 are just two New Testament examples.
- 4The term "United States" is a collective noun (like Elohim). British author Christopher Hitchens notes the following about the use of "United States" as a compound noun gives an explanation:

"President Madison's words on this occasion could scarcely be bettered: 'It is a settled policy of America, that as peace is better than war, war is better than tribute. The United States, while they wish for war with no nation, [they] will buy peace with none.' [Then Hitchens comments:] (The

expression 'the United States is' [as a singular] did not come into usage
until after Gettysburg.)"

- Christopher Hitchens, "Jefferson Versus the Muslim Pirates," *City Journal*, Spring 2007 "Unlike the great States of Europe and Asia and many of those of America, these United States are wasting their strength neither in foreign war nor domestic strife."
- President Franklin Pierce, 1855 Inaugural Address "These United States are confronted with an economic affliction of great proportions."
 - President Ronald Reagan, 1981 Inaugural Address
- 5I differ with the majority view: "Contrary to Greek and many Western languages, compound nouns are not characteristic of Hebrew. The Hebrew plural is formed by adding -im to masculine nouns (seraphim, cherubim), and modifying the feminine ending to -oth." See Walter Elwell, ed., Baker Encyclopedia of the Bible (Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Book House, 1988), S., p. 334. Elsewhere this Encyclopedia indicates that Elohim, although plural in form is singular. This is definitionally characteristic of a compound noun according to usage.
- 6It is frustrating that most English translations render the important and basic titles for God by terms that obscure their full meaning for the reader. This is done by using different type faces, or styles, or capitalizations to somehow "distinguish" the titles for God. For example, consider the use of LORD (YHWH) and Lord (Adonay) in Psalm 110:1 as one example in the King James Version. If translators would simply use the transliterated terms YHWH (or Yahweh), El, Elohim, Elohi, Eloah, and Elim then the use and familiarity of those terms would reinforce their meaning in context. This applies to the Old Testament only.
- <u>7</u>The Sons of God "saw" and "took" (Genesis 6:2) the women just like Eve, the first woman, "saw" the tree and "took" fruit from it in Genesis 3:6.
- <u>8</u>Nor is there any indication anywhere in Scripture of this incident being a matter of fornication. 2 Peter 2:4 and Jude 6 are talking about angels, not Sons of God. The incidents are completely different.
- <u>9</u>See Michael S. Heiser, "Deuteronomy 32 and the Sons of God" in *Bibliotheca Sacra*158:629 (January 01), pp. 52-74. This article is available online athttp://faculty.gordon.edu/hu/bi/Ted_Hildebrandt/OTeSources/05-Deuteronomy/Text/Articles/Heiser-Deut32-BS.htm, and on Dr. Heiser's website (see note below).
- <u>10</u>While both angels and Sons of God are Elohim, Scripture distinguishes each from each other.
- <u>11</u>For a thorough analysis of the textual issues of this passage and its implication, see the works of Dr. Michael S. Heiser regarding the Divine Council of YHWH athttp://www.thedivinecouncil.com. See particularly the explanation in his article "Deuteronomy 32:8 and the Sons of God" at note 9 above.
- 12Begin with E. Theodore Mullen, Jr., *The Divine Council in Canaanite and Early Hebrew Literature* (Atlanta: Scholars Press, 1986). Other aspects of the Divine Council can be found in Michael Heiser's "Divine Council 101: Lesson 2: The *elohim* of Psalm 82 gods or men?" at http://www.thedivinecouncil.com/. Go there for a full list of the major technical sources.
- 13 This is due to a misdating of the Ugaritic history and archival documents. Note the close similarity of terms and concepts:

Psalm 82:2	Ugaritic CTA 16. VI. 45-54 spoken to Kirta
² How long will you judge unjustly, and accept the persons of the wicked? Selah.	You do not judge the case of <u>the widow</u> , Nor do you judge the case of the <u>wretched</u> .
³ Defend <u>the poor</u> and <u>fatherless</u> : do justice to the <u>afflicted and</u> <u>needy</u> .	You do not drive out the oppressor of the <u>poor!</u> You do not feed <u>the orphan</u> before you, Nor <u>the</u>
' Deliver the <u>poor and needy</u> : rid them out of the hand of the wicked.	widow behind you.
⁵ They know not, neither will they understand; they walk on in darkness: all the foundations of the earth are out of course.	You have become a companion of the sick-bed, You have become a friend of the bed of sickness! Descend from the kingship that I might reign,
⁸ Arise, O God, judge the earth: for you shall inherit all nations."	From your dominion that I might sit enthroned over it!

Translation in Mullen, *Divine Council*, p. 235. In Ugarit Kirta is the son of Ilu, the equivalent of El, the chief god. "the offspring of the Gracious and Holy One. Do gods die? [yes], Does the offspring of the Gracious One not live? [yes]" in William W. Hallo, K. Lawson Younger, et al., *The Context of Scripture*. Leiden; New York: Brill, 1997, S. 339. "The concept of the divine council, or the assembly of the gods, was a common religious motif in the cultures of Egypt, Mesopotamia, Canaan, Phoenicia, and

- Mullen, Divine Council, p. 113
- 14For background on God's covenant lawsuits against Israel (there were several), see J. Carl Laney, "The Role of the Prophets in God's Case against Israel" in Bibliotheca Sacra Volume 138. Dallas Theological Seminary, 1981; 2002, S. 138:313-324. "The most vivid depiction of the pronouncement of judgment within the assembly itself is found in Psalm 82" (Mullen, Divine Council, p. 228). Covenants had penalties which were adjudicated in a formalized manner. Psalm 82 is a "courtroom scene" of judgment against violators of a covenant between YHWH and some (probably most) of His sons. See the information and sources in my article "Idolatry and God's Punishment" at

Israel."

- 15 This is what all judges are supposed to do, but in Old Testament times there was a format and a formula for redress. God as King is talking to these violators, these unjust judges. The result to the people as a result of the failure in part c. above. The violators were to do justice for those that were subordinate and in their charge. The result is in part d. above.
- 16 The phrase "Most High" and "adam" are distinguished from each other in Deuteronomy 32:8, which together with Psalm 82:6, together show that the "sons" cannot be humans.
- <u>17</u>This at first seems shocking, but Jesus Christ was an Elohim before His incarnation. He could and did die. Only He has been resurrected.
- 18Clearly Israel lived in a death cult society. Death was all around. To placate God at Israel's cult they had to sacrifice and kill animals all the time as substitute for their own death. They knew that everything died. There is no way that a human judge in Israel would think that he could not die and that God needs to come along and pronounce a death sentence on a human being.
- 19Understand that in the Book of Hebrews and in much of the New Testament when a verse is cited it refers to the larger context containing that verse. There is an old joke about comedians who sit around and just speak punch lines of jokes. People listening did not know what they are laughing about. One comedian would say a punch line and all the other comedians

would laugh and laugh because they all knew the story leading up to the punch line. Likewise the Scribes, the Pharisees, and even the common people in the Temple knew exactly what Jesus was referring to. He quoted a particular portion but He was referring to all of Psalm 82. By saying "You are gods," Jesus identified Himself with the Sons of the Most High. He could do this as "the only begotten Son of God." He was different. He was totally unique. He was "begotten." The other Sons were not.

- 20 Which God assigned and gave to Him in Psalm 82:8.
- 21See article "Prophetic Birth of Our Civilization".
- <u>22</u>What allotment? The inheritance allotment of Psalm 82:8. What <u>name</u> was given to Him? What is the "more excellent name" that He has than angels?
- 23The problem is this: beings called the Sons of God did exist <u>before</u> the creation of God. This is undeniable from Job 38:7. Many proponents of the One God concept hold that Jesus did not exist <u>until</u> His incarnation. If the Sons of God were created, lived, were punished, and were <u>gone</u> and dead some 500 years before Jesus was incarnated, then who are the "fellows" referred to in Psalm 45:7 and Hebrews 1:9? Angels cannot be those "fellows" because angels are not Sons as shown by Hebrews 1:5. They are not on His level. He is far, far above them. The purpose of Hebrews chapters 1 and 2 is to distinguish the Son from angels.
- 24 Harvard Theological Review 56/2 (1963), p. 106.
- <u>25</u>Occasionally the pagan philosophers understood the true nature of the Godhead, although we should not go to them for information. Whether they are informed by the Old Testament (as Christian historian Eusebius believed) or by observation of nature, as the apostle Paul speaks about in Romans chapter 1, cannot be determined.
- 26 Editor and publisher of The Journal, News of the Churches of God In this portion I emphasize that Jesus was unique in all ways. He was a unique Son of God among other sons of God (just as we who believe, are sons and daughters of God, John 1:12). He was also the unique Son of Man among other sons of men. Jesus states that He "saw" God the Father but that could only have taken place <u>before</u> His incarnation. ¹ I will show that Jesus was present (not in a figurative or spiritual way but existentially) at the time of the exodus from Egypt and in the wilderness. I explain that human beings can only be called Elohim in the Old Testament when they are delegated to that office and title by God the Father, but they are not Elohim naturally. Legally at present we are children of God, we are considered Elohim, and will be our final state when we have attained to our intended glorious state in the resurrection. Then I discuss the concept of eternity and that it does not really exist in Scripture. I will show that Jesus accepted worship and that clearly He existed before He "was made flesh" (John 1:14). Finally I will show that, like Christ, you are a child of God, now.

Jesus Christ as the Son of the God

There are reasons definite articles are used. Sometimes the reasons are overlooked. Sometimes the reason is very important such as when the definite article is used with the phrase "Son of God."

"And the high priest answered and said unto him, "I adjure you by the living God, that you tell us whether you be the Christ [the Messiah], the Son of [the] God." ²

Jesus said unto him, "You have said [agreeing with the high priest]: nevertheless <u>I say</u> unto you, Hereafter shall you see the Son of mansitting on the right hand of power, and coming in the clouds of heaven."

• Matthew 26:63-64 (see also Mark 14:61-62 and Luke 22:70)

Jesus is citing an Old Testament passage here. There are other gods (Elohim), and other Sons of God than Jesus Christ, <u>but</u> they have no right to contact anyone, to claim authority over you or anyone at any time. They have no right to be worshipped anymore, not even by the nations. They have no right to be around. As a matter of fact there is a death sentence upon them. Whether some removed themselves from earth to somewhere else, who knows? Who cares?

Analysis: Jesus does not deny He is "the Christ, <u>the Son</u>
of [the] <u>God</u>" and "<u>the</u> Son of Man." The usage the High Priest uses is with the definite articles: "the Christ <u>the</u> Son
of [the] <u>God</u>." [o` cristo.j o` ui`o.j tou/ qeou/]. In this single passage

Jesus identifies Himself with the titles: "Messiah" = "Son of God" = "Son of Man." They considered that blasphemy because if He was correct then they were putting to death God's Messiah, God's anointed.

If He was wrong then God would punish them for not punishing heretics and

If He was wrong then God would punish them for not punishing heretics and endangeringtheir nation. They were in a very difficult situation. They could hope that if they were wrong that God would forgive them, but I do not think they cared about that. They were empowered by the Law to do what they had to do to fight blasphemy and anyone who would claim they were divine, or God, or the Messiah. Jesus quotes the Messianic verse, "sitting on the right hand of power, and coming in the clouds of heaven" (combining Psalm 110:1 and Daniel 7:13). Look who else called him "the Son of God."

- John the Baptist calls Him "the Son of [the] God" in John 1:34.
- Evil spirits call Him "the Son of [the] God" in Mark 3:11.
- Peter calls Him "the Son of the living God" in Matthew 16:16.
- Nathaniel calls Him "the Son of [the] God" in John 1:49.
- At a Jerusalem feast Jesus refers to "<u>the</u> Son of [the] God," meaning Himself in John 5:25.

Many times the New Testament has simply the phrase "Son of God" without an article. Sometimes it is "the Son of God." Sometimes it is "Son of the God." Sometimes it is "the Son of the God." I have not worked through the significance to all of the meanings, but I bet they are precisely and intentionally used by God. The writers all knew what they were saying when they wrote these words. Let us look at John 5:25 more closely:

"Verily, verily, I say unto you, The hour is coming, and now is, when the dead shall hear the voice of <u>the Son of</u> [the] <u>God</u>: and they that hear shall live.

For as the Father has life in himself; so has he given to the Son to have life in himself;

And has given him [the Son] authority to execute judgment also, because he is the Son of man."

• John 5:25-27

Once again in this passage, as in John chapter 10, Jesus connects "the Son of the God"with "the Son of Man." Most of you know this material, but I hope I am approaching it from a different direction:

At Lazarus' resurrection Jesus refers to Himself as the "<u>the</u> Son of [the] God" in John 11:4.

- The woman at the well in Samaria calls Him "the Christ, the Son of [the] God" in John 11:27. She is a Gentile.
- Paul "preached Christ in the synagogues, that he is the Son of [the] God" in Acts 9:20.
- Paul refers to "the Son of [the] God" in Galatians 2:20. Jesus as "the Son of [the] God" makes excellent sense because there are other Sons of God.

"But these are written, that you might believe that Jesus is the Christ[Messiah], the Son of [the] God; and that believing you might have life through his name.

"[1] For the perfecting of the saints, [2] for the work of the ministry, [3] for the edifying of the body of Christ: <u>Till we all come in</u> the unity of the faith, and of <u>the knowledge</u> of <u>the</u> Son of [the] God, unto a perfect man, unto the measure of the stature of the fullness of Christ:

• Ephesians 4:12-13

I do not want to make too much of "the Son of the God," but it is there for a reason.

"Seeing then that we have a great high priest, that is passed into the heavens, Jesus the Son of [the] God, let us hold fast our profession."

Hebrews 4:14

John 20:31

"And we have seen and do testify that the Father sent the Son to be the Savior of the world. Whosoever shall confess that Jesus is the Son of [the] God, [the] God dwells in him, and he in [the] God."

• 1 John 4:14-15

Other verses that use "the Son of the God" in Greek (besides those already cited) are in Hebrews 6:6, 7:3, 10:29; 1 John 3:8, 4:15, 5:5, 5:10, 12-13, 20; and Revelation 2:18. All those verses show that Jesus is "the Son of the God," among other Sons of God. Jesus' distinction is that He was sent from God as the "only-begotten" Son of God. You see that appellation upon Him frequently. The other gods were created, but He is the "only begotten" Son of God. He was the only one who became flesh permanently through His birth to Mary. Finally, the other Sons of God sinned. Jesus never did. Human beings from Adam who believe will also become Sons of God:

"But as many as received him, to them [He] gave the power to become the sons of God, even to them that believe on his name: Which [sons of God] were born, not of blood, nor of the will of the flesh, nor of the will of man, but of God [of the will of God]. 3

And the Word was made flesh, and dwelt among us (and we beheld his glory, the glory as of the only begotten of the Father), full of grace and truth."

• John 1:12-14

"No man has seen God at any time; the only begotten Son, which is in the bosom of the Father, he has declared him."

John 1:18

Significance of "Only-begotten"

Notice what these passages are saying. Christ being identified as "the only-begotten" Son of God <u>demands</u> that there were other Sons of God who were not begotten. Otherwise why make that distinction at all?

"For God so loved the world, that he gave his <u>only begotten Son</u>, that whosoever believes in him should not perish, but have everlasting [eonian]life. ...

• John 3:16

Remember, the Book of Enoch was known all over Judea (and Enoch is even mentioned in the Book of Jude). It was a popular work. This was the common understanding that the angels were the Sons of God, etc., etc. That was wrong according to the Book of Hebrews. John is also making a distinction for his audience, which also has that same background of information in their worldview:

He that believes on him is not condemned: but he that believes not is condemned already, because he has not believed in the name of the only begotten Son of God."

• John 3:18

Ordinary humans can also be "only begotten." How many of you realized that? But ordinary humans cannot be "only begotten" as Sons of God:

- 1. The "only begotten" son uses the same term in Luke 7:12, in the Greek.
- 2. Jairus had an "only begotten" daughter in Luke 8:42 in the Greek.
- 3. The man's "only begotten" son had a demon in the Greek of Luke 9:38.
- 4. Isaac was said to be Abraham's "only begotten" son according to the Greek of Hebrews 11:17.

All these instances use the same Greek term for "only begotten" but when it is attached to "Son of God," then the phrase "only begotten" takes on a whole different meaning."Only begotten Son of God" is communicating something specific and definite. Jesus was unique as "the only begotten" Son of God the Father.

Christ was the Son when He was sent:

"For what the law could not do, in that it was weak through the flesh, <u>God</u> sending his own <u>Son</u> in the likeness of sinful flesh, and for sin, condemned sin in the flesh."

• Romans 8:3

"But when the fullness of the time was come, <u>God sent forth his Son</u>, made of a woman. made under the law."

• Galatians 4:4

Christ was "the Son" <u>before</u> He was sent. He <u>existed before</u> He was sent. All things came through Christ, who, Scripture shows existed before His incarnation. Now all the verses in Colossians, Philippians, and 1 Corinthians 8:6 make sense, and one does not have to come up with creative wordplay or exegesis to explain these verses:

"But to us there is but one God, the Father,

of whom are all things, and WE

in him; and one Lord Jesus Christ,

by [dia, through] whom are all things, and WE

by [dia, through] him."

• 1 Corinthians 8:6, KJV

Christ is an active agent in both "all things" and also an active agent "in us." There is no doubt that we have our salvation "through Him." Likewise, we

have creation "through Him." He is the prime contractor of God the Father. We are not merely passing through Him without Him touching us. He is active. We come through Christ just as all things came through Christ. Let me break down this passage so it will be clearer:

"But nevertheless for us there is

```
one God, the Father,
out of Whom [the] all is, and we into for Him,

and one Lord, Jesus Christ,
through Whom [the] all is, and we through Him."
```

• 1 Corinthians 8:6, Concordant Version

You can also take that first part of this verse and coordinate it with the second part. It is not anything esoteric or fancy. Remember, everything that was read in ancient times was read out loud, so it had a response to the ear. Even when people read to themselves, they read to themselves aloud. People who read silently were considered strange and even mentally ill, even unto the 3rd century C.E. until people began to read silently. But in ancient times everyone read aloud.

Jesus "Seeing" God

Jesus makes some intriguing statements about "seeing" God in the Gospel of John. This is by no means a trivial matter. Jesus is said to be "the brightness of his glory, and the express image of his person" (Hebrews 1:3). That could be known only by revelation or by "seeing" God. When did Jesus "see" the Father after His incarnation? (Remember that it is claimed by some that Jesus is not divine, that YHWH is the only Elohim, and that Jesus did not exist prior to His incarnation, except as the Word of God (a plan). But Jesus Himself says:

"No man has seen God at any time; the only begotten Son, which is in the bosom of the Father, he has declared him."

• John 1:18

"... Not that any man [no man] has seen the Father, save he which is of God, he [Jesus Himself] has seen the Father.'"

John 6:46

Questions: When did Jesus "see" the Father? I see no indication in the New Testament narratives or Gospels that Jesus at any time "saw" the Father anytime after His incarnation and before His death, do you? Flesh and blood cannot see God. We know that from John 1:18 from commentary by the apostle John. We know that Jesus saw the devil because He was tempted by him. § Did Jesus "see" the Father AFTER His incarnation, when He was flesh and blood? If so, then show me.

In fact, there is no evidence from scripture that Jesus ever "saw" the Father either after His incarnation or before His resurrection. Christ heard God the Father speak at His baptism (Matthew 3:17). At His Transfiguration (which was a vision, Matthew 17:5; Mark 9:7; Luke 9:35; Acts 13:33; 2 Peter 1:17) there is no indication anyone "saw" the Father. "Angels came and ministered unto him" (Matthew 4:11) after the temptation. I presume He saw them at that time. There was never any theophany in vision in the Gospels such as occurred in Isaiah 6:1, 5^{-1} ; Ezekiel 1:1, 28; or in Daniel 7:9. This is important because nowhere in the Gospels is there described an occasion when Jesus saw God the Father. Yet Jesus specifically states He "saw" the Father:

I [Jesus] speak that which I have seen with [para, beside] my Father: and you do that which you have seen [Greek, heard (not seen)] with your father[the devil].

• John 8:38

It says that Jesus saw the Father but He does not describe it. There are no details. There is no instance or occasion mentioned when this happened. So, therefore it is proper to ask the following questions: <u>When</u> did Jesus see <u>with His Father?</u> <u>When</u> was Jesus beside [Greek, para] His Father?

- Was it after His incarnation?
- Was it after He achieved maturity?
- Was it after His baptism?
- Was it after His ministry began?

You might say, well, these are trivial questions. Consider another passage: "Jesus said unto [Philip]... he that has seen me has seen the Father; and how say you then, 'Show us the Father'?"

• John 14:9

<u>How did Jesus know</u> that He looks like the Father, unless He saw the Father? Again, <u>when</u>did Jesus see the Father?

- Was it after His incarnation?
- Was it after He achieved maturity?
- Was it after His baptism?
- Was it after His ministry began?

These legitimate questions have only one answer: Jesus saw the Father before His incarnation.

"And this is life eternal [eonian], that they might know you the only true God, and Jesus Christ, whom you have sent. I have glorified you on the earth: I have finished the work which you gave me to do. And now, O Father, glorify you me with your own self with the glory which I had with you [Greek, "beside you"] before the world was."

• John 17:3-5

Now this passage makes perfectly good sense. <u>When</u> did Jesus have that glory <u>with His Father</u>, "the only true God"? <u>When</u> was Jesus <u>beside</u> [para] <u>His</u> Father?

- After His incarnation?
- After He achieved maturity?
- After His baptism?
- After His ministry began?

None of the above. Jesus, as "the-son-of-God," had that glory with His Father "before the world was," when He was with or beside His Father. Now let us see that Jesus Christ was active at the Exodus. He did not have the name Jesus at that time.

Christ Was Active at the Exodus

"... you should earnestly contend for the faith which was once delivered unto the saints. For there are certain men crept in \dots

denying the only Lord [despotas] God, and [denying] our Lord [kurios] Jesus Christ.

I will therefore put you in remembrance, though you once knew this, how that the <u>Lord</u> [kurion, referring to Jesus], <u>having saved the people</u> out of the land of Egypt, <u>afterward destroyed them</u> that believed not."

• Jude 3-5

It would be rather remarkable for the second occurrence of "Lord" (*kurion*) to refer to something else that is not in context when immediately above it you have "Lord" (*kurios*) referring to Jesus Christ. Note that Christ was active as "the Rock" when Israel was in the Wilderness:

- "all our fathers were under the cloud, and
- all passed through the sea; And were
- all baptized unto Moses in the cloud and in the sea; And did
- all eat the same spiritual meat; And did
- <u>all</u> drink the same spiritual drink: for <u>they</u>
- [all] drank of that spiritual Rock that followed them:

and that Rock was [the] Christ [the Messiah].

But with many of them God was not well pleased: <u>for they were overthrown in</u> the wilderness."

• 1 Corinthians 10:1-5

They were overthrown "in the wilderness" when the Rock was there present. What was going on there? If the Rock was a metaphor completely, then the Rock itself is a metaphor and it is a metaphor for the Spirit, for the water, which itself is a metaphor because the water came out of the rock and sustained the people physically, and the spirit sustained them spiritually. So you have a metaphor on a metaphor on a metaphor. It gets a little messy after a while. It is meaningless.

However, if Christ was really there as Jude says, and as Paul seems to indicate, then there is no problem. It all makes perfectly good sense. Note what is said in Hebrews:

"For we are made partakers of <u>Christ</u>, if we hold the beginning of our confidence steadfast unto the end; While it is said, 'To day if you will hearhis [Christ's] voice, ...'

For some, when they had heard [Christ's voice], did provoke: howbeit not all that came out of Egypt by Moses. But with whom was he [Christ]grieved forty years? was it not with them that had sinned, whose carcasses fell in the wilderness?"

• Hebrews 3:14-17

It seems to indicate that "He" was grieved and "He" was there. If you put these three passages side by side it seems that you have a reality that is not metaphorical or a metaphor on a metaphor. Metaphors only work when A represents B, not when A represents B represents C represents D. That is meaningless.

Christ was extremely active in the Wilderness period after the Exodus from Egypt:

Jude 3–5	1 Corinthians 10:1-5	Hebrews 3:14-17
" contend for the faith which was once delivered unto the saints. For there are certain men crept in unawares, and denying the only Lord [despotas]God, and our Lord[kurios] Jesus Christ. I will therefore put you in remembrance, though you once knew this, how thatthe Lord [kurion], having saved the people out of the land	" all our fathers were under the cloud, and all passed through the sea; And were all baptized unto Moses in the cloud and in the sea; And did all eat the same spiritual meat; And did all drink the same spiritual drink: for they drank of that spiritual Rockthat followed them: and that Rock was Christ. But with	"For we are made partakers of <u>Christ</u> , if we hold the beginning of our confidence steadfast unto the end; While it is said, 'To day if you will <u>hear his voice</u> ,' For some, when they had heard, did provoke: howbeit not all that came out of Egypt by Moses. But with whom <u>was</u> <u>he</u> [Christ] <u>grieved</u> forty years? was it not with them
		·
of Egypt, <u>afterward</u> <u>destroyed them that</u> believed not."	overthrown in the wilderness."	carcasses fell in the wilderness?"

Jesus did all those things:

- He "saved the people out of the land of Egypt."
- He "destroyed them that believed not."
- He was "that Rock" literally, not metaphorically.
- His voice spoke ("hear his voice").
- He "grieved forty years" with those that sinned.

He was living and present at that time. <u>If</u> He was not present, <u>if</u> He did not do all those things, and <u>if</u> all those actions Paul and Jude attributed to Christ were merely figurative, then why should anyone consider those actions important? If those actions are merely figurative <u>then the warnings become meaningless</u>. However, if those actions took place because Christ <u>was present</u> and performed those actions as described, then the writings of Paul and Jude have immense significance and the warning is real, relevant, and present.

Can Humans Now be Elohim?

So back to this idea of "what are Elohim?" Human beings are <u>never</u> identified as Elohim <u>in the Old Testament</u>! However, human beings <u>are</u> given authority of Elohim (*i.e.*, in Moses, Exodus 7:1), and are compared to Elohim, just like the "angel of the Lord" is given the authority of, the power of attorney for YHWH Himself, and can use the name of YHWH. The angel of the Lord is not YHWH, but he is the angelic being who Moses talked to, who Jacob wrestled with. These distinctions and classifications must be maintained. We love to classify things in the western world, and they do not do so as much in the non-western world, yet I believe God is very precise in choosing His words. His Word cuts like a two-edged sword, very precisely (Hebrews 4:12).

"And YHWH said unto Moses, See, I have made you Elohim to Pharaoh: and Aaron your brother shall be your prophet."

Exodus 7:1

There is a comparison being made here. Moses was to be <u>like</u> an Elohim to Pharaoh. He was compared to Elohim in Pharaoh's eyes. Moses was not to <u>be</u> an Elohim. In the future the House of David will be like an Elohim:

"In that day shall <u>YHWH</u> defend the inhabitants of Jerusalem; and he that is feeble among them at that day shall be as David; and <u>the house of David shall be AS ELOHIM</u>, as the angel [messenger] of <u>YHWH</u> before them."

Zechariah 12:8

There are two comparisons here. The House of David will be $\underline{\text{like}}$ an Elohim and also $\underline{\text{like}}$ an angel, a messenger, of YHWH. It is not equating Elohim and messenger in this instance, it is comparing the house of David with Elohim or with an angel of YHWH. Comparisons are being made here. $\underline{^{10}}$

Eternity?

The idea is put forth that Jesus did not exist "from eternity." This is true, not because Jesus did not exist prior to His birth from Mary but because <u>eternity does not exist as a concept</u> in Scripture. The nouns *olam* in Hebrew and <u>eon</u> in Greek <u>never</u> mean "eternity," and the adjectives never mean "eternal." "Eons, the ages, had a beginning (Hebrews 1:2). Eons have a conclusion (Hebrews 9:26). Time has a beginning (and an end). God is outside of time. There is also a relationship between *chronos* and <u>eons</u>, although both are Greek terms for time. There is a relationship between <u>cosmos</u> and <u>eons</u>. Eons deal with time, cosmos deals with the physical real, although they interrelate and interact.

Christ had a beginning and He was created <u>before time began</u>, and in fact He made theeons. The ages (which together constitute "time" as we know it) were created by the Son:

"Has in these last days spoken unto us by his Son, whom he has appointed heir of all things, by [di, through] whom also he [God] made the worlds [eons, ages]."

• *Hebrews* 1:2

The term translated "worlds" is the Greek *eons* which is plural for "ages," which talk about time. The ages were made by God <u>through</u> the Son. The Father is the *eonian* God according to Romans 16:26, and not the "everlasting" God as mistranslated by the King James Version. He is King of the *eons* (Revelation 15:3).

Again, I must ask these same questions: <u>When</u> were the <u>eons</u> made "<u>through</u>" the Son? If Jesus did not exist until <u>after</u> His incarnation, then <u>when</u> did He make the <u>eons</u>?

- Was it after His incarnation, as an infant, or as a young child?
- Was it after He achieved maturity?
- Was it after His baptism?
- Was it after His ministry began?

These same questions relate to the question of the *eons* which clearly were made by God the Father through the active agency of the Son. These are valid questions.

If Jesus existed <u>before</u> His incarnation as the first creation of God through which everything else came, including the other Sons of God, the angels, the foundation of the earth, Adam and Eve, and all the physical realm, including time, the *eons*, then everything makes perfectly good sense according to the plain meanings of the passages we have looked at, and many others.

Worship of Christ

I found this website on the internet. I disagree with a lot that this author has in this website, but his concise remarks regarding the worship of Christ make some good points:

"For an Israelite, to worship anything other than the Father was idolatry, a wicked sin. For any man to accept worship would be to set himself up a God. Yet in the Bible we have multiple accounts of Christ accepting the worship of other men. If Jesus Christ were merely a 'good teacher' He would have rebuked these men instantly for their error, but no such rebuke ever came. (Peter in Acts 10:26 is a good example of refusing such worship). Men worshipped Jesus and He did not refuse [worship]:

```
From the wise men (Matthew 2:11),
From the leper (Matthew 8:2),
From the ruler (Matthew 9:18),
From His disciples in the boat (Matthew 14:33),
From the Canaanite woman (Matthew 15:25),
From the man born blind (John 9:38),
From the women and other disciples following His
resurrection (Matthew 28:9, 17),
From the disciples following His ascension (Luke 24:52)."
```

• 10 Claims in the Bible on the Deity of Christ, emphasis mine 12 Some have said that the Greek terms translated as worship in these and other passages should be understood as giving honor or veneration and not worship, as unto God. They claim these occasions of "worship" were examples of reverence. But Jesus did not refuse worship. As Peter came into the house of

Cornelius, the centurion in Acts 10:25-26, Cornelius met him, fell down at Peter's feet, and "worshipped" (proskuneo, the same Greek term used in the passages quoted above). Peter took him up and told him "Stand up; I myself also am a man." Peter demonstrates that a "mere man" like himself was not worthy of such worship, but Jesus, who was a man — and so much more — was worthy of such worship, and He accepted that worship.

IMPORTANT NOTE: The *Theological Dictionary of the New Testament*, abridged in 1 Volume (Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1995, c1985), p. 948, says this about the word *proskynéō* in the Greek Old Testament where it is used <u>for divine worship</u>:

"The LXX uses the term for various words meaning 'to bow,' 'to kiss,' 'to serve,' and 'to worship.' Most of the instances $[ofproskyne\bar{o}]$ relate to veneration of the God of Israel or of false gods."

In the New Testament $proskyn\acute{eo}$ is used in the same way, the veneration (or worship) of someone who has a relationship to divinity. Christ accepted such worship (or veneration) because of who He \underline{was} – the Son of God.

Note what Matthew said in his Gospel:

"Then the eleven disciples went away into Galilee, into a mountain where Jesus had appointed them. And when they saw him, they worshipped[prosekunaesan] him: but some doubted. And Jesus came and spoke unto them, saying, 'All power is given unto me in heaven and in earth.'"

• Matthew 28:16-18

This would be a highly unusual thing to say immediately after receiving worship from one's disciples, unless Jesus Christ <u>had authority</u> from His Father to receive such worship.

Jesus' Existence before His Incarnation

Some deny that Jesus existed <u>before</u> His incarnation. This is necessary for their consistency of message. If Jesus existed before His incarnation then He would be more than just a human being anointed by God. This idea, however, diminishes the concept of Jesus as the Son of God. We need to consider various actions that could only have happened <u>before</u> Christ was incarnated. "[God] has in these last days spoken unto us by his Son, whom he has appointed heir of all things, by [di, through] whom also he [God] made the worlds [eons, ages]."

Hebrews 1:2

As noted above, the Father created time, the ages, through His Son who existed beforeChrist (the Son) created time. God also saved us "in Christ Jesus" before the world began. This occurred not just as part of the Father's plan, but as a reality, with Christ's being present, agreeing to that plan, and being active in that plan before His incarnation:

"[God] Who has saved us, and called us with an holy calling, ... according to his own purpose and grace, which was given us in Christ Jesus <u>before the world began</u> [chronon aionion, before "times eonian"]."

• 2 Timothy 1:9

Christ was an active agent. More questions: <u>When</u> did God save us and call us? It was done before time itself was created. <u>When</u> was God's "purpose and grace … given us in Jesus Christ"? It was done before time existed.

"Jesus said unto them, 'Verily, verily, I say unto you, <u>Before Abraham was, I am</u>.' Then took they up stones to cast at him."

• John 8:58-59

Jesus existed <u>before</u> Abraham. This was not a metaphorical, spiritual, or poetic statement by Jesus. We can know this because of the reaction by His audience. They understood exactly what He said and they reacted violently and wanted to kill Him. He had identified Himself with God and they thought that was blasphemy. This yields more questions to those who deny Jesus existed before His incarnation: <u>When</u> was Jesus "before Abraham"? <u>When</u> did Jesus assume authority of precedence over Abraham?

- Was it after His incarnation, as an infant, as a young child?
- Was it after He achieved maturity?
- Was it after His baptism?
- Was it after His ministry began?

These questions all have to be answered. Christ in Philippians chapter 2: "Who [Christ], being in the form [morphe] of God, thought it not robbery tobe equal with God:"

• Philippians2:6

Still more questions: <u>When</u> was Christ "in the form of God" — ever — before His incarnation? How is that possible if, as some say, He did not have existence or consciousness before His incarnation?

- Did Jesus have "the form of God" after His incarnation, as an infant, as a young child?
- Was it after He achieved maturity?
- Was it after His baptism?
- Was it after His ministry began?

Was Jesus' "form of God" merely a poetic form of comment by the apostle Paul? How does that morphe differ from our likeness of God? We are created in the image and likeness of God. What is unique about that word morphe? It has a unique meaning. Morphe is different than "likeness." It is different than "image" in Greek. When did Jesus have "the form of God"? Also, when did Christ think to be "equal with God"? Obviously, for those who deny Jesus' pre-incarnation existence, it would have to be some time after He was an infant. Did it just occur to Jesus one day, or what? The same questions apply to Jesus being "equal with God":

- Did Jesus have "the form of God" after His incarnation, as an infant, as a young child?
- Was it after He achieved maturity?
- Was it after His baptism?
- Was it after His ministry began?

"But [1] <u>made himself</u> of no reputation, and [2] <u>took upon him</u> the form of a servant, and [3] was made in <u>the likeness</u> [schema, different frommorphe] <u>of men</u>:"

• Philippians 2:7

Note: Jesus "made HIMSELF of no reputation." When did He do that? When did He have any "reputation" in the flesh? We know what the result was (no reputation), but <u>from what</u>did He "make Himself of no reputation"? Again, the questions must be asked:

- 1. When did Jesus make "Himself of no reputation"?
 - Was it after His incarnation, as an infant, as a young child?
 - Was it after He achieved maturity?
 - Was it after His baptism?
 - Was it after His ministry began?

- 2. When did Jesus take "the form of a servant"?
- 3. When was Jesus made "in the likeness of men"?

All these three events must have taken place <u>before</u> His incarnation. Note also that He"<u>made himself</u> of no reputation." This means that He emptied <u>Himself</u>. ¹³ What did He empty Himself of? He took "the form of a servant" means that He "took" that form upon <u>Himself</u>. He was the sole active agent in that action. It was not done for Him or to Him. Philippians 2:6-7 indicate that Jesus existed <u>before</u> He emptied himself of his reputation before He took the form of a slave, and before He

his reputation, <u>before</u> He took the form of a slave, and <u>before</u> He obtained "the likeness of men." Look at the next verse:

"And being <u>found in fashion as a man</u>, [1] he humbled himself, and [2] became obedient unto death, even the death of the cross."

• Philippians 2:8

Questions: When did Jesus find Himself "in fashion as a man"?

- Was it after His incarnation, as an infant, as a young child?
- Was it after He achieved maturity?
- Was it after His baptism?
- Was it after His ministry began?

<u>When</u> did Jesus "humble himself"? He humbled Himself all through His life, <u>after</u> His incarnation through His sufferings. But note that all the actions of Philippians 2:6-8 <u>were done by Christ Himself</u>! They were not done to or for Him by God the Father. Note this passage by Paul.

"For you know the grace of our Lord Jesus Christ, that, though he was rich, yet for your sakes he became poor, that you through his poverty might be rich."

• 2 Corinthians 8:9

Questions: <u>When</u> was Jesus rich, and what did that mean? Rich in glory? When did He have that glory?

- Was it after His incarnation, as an infant, as a young child?
- Was it after He achieved maturity?
- Was it after His baptism?
- Was it after His ministry began?

Was He rich in power? Yes, He was rich in power derived from God the Father. He never became poor in that power. He always healed when He needed to. When the woman touched His robe and she was healed (Matthew 9:20-22; Mark 5:25-34; and Luke 8:43-48), it says He lost power but He got it back because He was filled with the Holy Spirit. So, when was Jesus rich? When did Jesus become poor, and in what sense? It seems obvious that He was rich before His birth and incarnation. He became poor after Hn. The acof 2 Corinthians 8:9 were done by Christ Himself.

"And the Word [logos] was made flesh, and dwelt among us, (and we beheld his glory, the glory as of the only begotten of the Father,) full of grace and truth. John [the Baptist] bore witness of him, and cried, saying,

'This was he of whom I spoke, <u>He</u> that comes after me

- [1] **is preferred before me** [in authority]:
- [2] for he was before me [in time]."

• John 1:14-15

Remember that Jesus was 6 months younger than John. The apostle John relates that the Baptist <u>repeated</u> his statement of John 1:15 with almost the same words. The next day the Baptist sees Jesus coming unto him again, and says:

"Behold the Lamb of God, which takes away the sin of the world. This is he of whom I said, After me comes a man which

- [1] <u>is preferred</u> <u>before me</u>:
- [2] for he was before me."

• John 1:29-30

The relationship between Jesus and John the Baptist is clear when the two verses are put side by side when in almost identical words, they are saying the same thing. The first aspect is authority and power, and the second aspect is time. Jesus was pre:

John 1:15	John 1:30
" <u>He</u> that comes after me is preferred <u>before me</u> : for he was <u>before me</u> ."	"After me comes <u>a man</u> which is preferred <u>before me</u> : for he was <u>before me</u> ."

Two different things are specified by John's usage of "before me." Clearly Jesus was "preferred before" John in status and authority, but He also "was before" John in time. This is repeated for emphasis.

You Are a Child of God Now!

Jesus <u>was not</u> the only Son of God in the past (Psalm 45:7). Jesus <u>is not</u> the only Son of God now. Jesus <u>was not</u> the sole "only-begotten" human, but Jesus was "<u>THE</u> only-begotten Son of God." YOU are a son (child) of God NOW <u>if</u> you have God's Spirit within you. Read what the apostle John says what we should be called and who we <u>are</u>:

"See what love the Father has given us, that

we <u>should be called children of God</u>; and <u>so WE ARE</u>. ... Beloved, WE ARE God's children now;

it does not yet appear what we shall be, but we know that when he [the Son] appears

we shall be like him; for we shall see him as he is."

• 1 John 3:1-2, RSV

Salvation has appeared from God our Savior. 4 Who will be the one who will appear? Read Titus:

"... that they may adorn the doctrine of <u>God our Savior</u> in all things. For the grace <u>of God that brings salvation HAS APPEARED</u> [evpefa,nh] <u>to all men</u>, ... Looking for that blessed hope, and <u>THE GLORIOUS</u>

<u>APPEARING</u> [evpifa, neian] of <u>the great God and our Savior Jesus Christ</u>; Who gave himself for us, ..."

• Titus 2:10-11, 13-14

The Father will not appear at the coming, but Christ will appear at His Second Coming. He will have the name of the Father appropriated to Him (Isaiah 9:6 where the Messiah is also called "The mighty God [El]"). That sounds Godlike to me.

Conclusion 1

Christ is first in two important areas. He is God's first creation and He is God's firstborn from the dead:

"Who [THE Son] is the image of the invisible God,

the firstborn of every creature:

For by [in] him were all things created,

that are in heaven, and that are in earth, visible and invisible, whether they be thrones, or dominions, or principalities, or powers: all things were created by [dia, through] him [the Son], and for him: ..."

• Colossians 1:15-16

Paul is speaking with expansive language as comprehensively as he possibly can. As the firstborn all things were created by the Son ...

- <u>in</u> Him (on account of Him).
- through Him, and
- <u>for</u> Him

"And he is <u>before all</u> things, and <u>by him all things consist</u>.

And he is the head of the body, the church: [He] who is the beginning, the <u>firstborn from the dead</u>; that <u>in all things he might have the preeminence</u> [be first].

• Colossians 1:17-18

- He is before all things
- All things consist in Him
- All things come after Him
- NOTE: He is firstborn of every creature (verse 15), just as He is firstborn from the dead (verse 18)

Does the firstborn from the dead have preeminence in rank? Yes. Does He also have preeminence in time? Yes. "He is before all things." All things consist in Him. All things come after Him. He is the firstborn of every creature (in verse 15) and He is the firstborn from the dead. You will be part of those next born from the dead.

- 1. Some object to the non-biblical term "incarnation" referring to Jesus. True, it is non-biblical but it refers exclusively to Jesus and the noun is derived from the Latin*incarnātus*, past participle of *incarnāre* which means "to make flesh" (*American Heritage Dictionary*, 3rd ed., 1992). This non-biblical term accurately describes the concept that the Word "was made flesh" (John 1:14).
- <u>2</u>That is how it is in the Greek with two definite articles. I put [the] in brackets to indicate the definite article is in the Greek.
- 3 This verse is talking about spirit-filled human beings who are now Sons of God.
- 4 The words in parentheses are generally, and correctly, considered to be John's commentary on the event.
- 5The definite article is there, meaning "the all" in Greek.
- <u>6</u>I I wrongly stated Jesus saw Satan during the temptation (see Matthew 4:1-11; Mark 1:12-13; and Luke 4:1-13). However, Jesus did see Satan fall from heaven:

"And the seventy returned again with joy, saying, 'Lord, even the devils are subject unto us through your name.' And he said unto them, 'I beheld Satan as lightning fall from heaven.'"

• Luke 10:17-18

7 Note the verse:

"In the year that king Uzziah died \underline{I} saw also YHWH sitting upon a throne, high and lifted up, and his train filled the temple. ...

Then said I, 'Woe is me! for I am undone; because I am a man of unclean lips, and I dwell in the midst of a people of unclean lips: for <u>mine eyes have seen the King, YHWH of hosts</u>.'"

• Isaiah 6:1, 5

<u>8</u>I should have emphasized this point more strongly and more often: <u>in the Old Testament</u> humans are never called Elohim except by comparison.

- 9 See "The Law Was Given by Angels" which explains who this "angel of the Lord" was in terms of power and authority.
- <u>10</u>In the extended passage of Zechariah chapters 12, 13, and 14 the phrase "house of David" actually refers to the Tomb of David. See "The Location and Future Discovery of King David's Tomb" for the evidence.
- Eon has an indefinite but limited duration. Among several works that explain this teaching (and which Dr. Martin taught for decades as part of the biblical teaching of universal reconciliation) is the work by Dr. Heleen Keizer, Life Time Entirety (Univ. of Amsterdam, 1999). This was Dr. Keizer's dissertation. She is a leading scholar on the Greek works of Philo Judaeus and Professor at the University of Milan, Italy. She was the first scholar to use the "Thesaurus Lingua Graeca" to examine and analyze every instance of eon in Greek literature, 800 B.C.E. to 600 C.E. Her conclusion: Eon never meant eternity until theology inserted that meaning into the word in late antiquity. Later the Gnostic Christians made eon into the title of a being, a god.

See also the article "The Ages of Salvation, also the book *Time and Eternity: A BiblicalStudy* by G.T. Stevenson at http://tentmaker.org/books/time/index.html.

- <u>12</u> See the internet article "10 Claims in the Bible on the Deity of Christ" atwww.crossandthrone.com/2006/07/28/10-claims-in-the-bible-on-the-deity-of-christ.
- 13The Greek of Philippians 2:7 actually means "emptied Himself." This is
 vastly superior to the King James rendering "of no reputation."
 14 John 1:12-13:

"But as many as received him [the Word], to them gave he power to become the sons of God, even to them that believe on his name: Which were born, not of blood, nor of the will of the flesh, nor of the will of man, but of God."